## International Bridge, Tunnel, and Turnpike Association

## North American Toll Interoperability Program

# Test Program Test Planning – Statistics and Test Strategy Approach Document

Final

Version 6

March 21, 2017

The IBTTA Test Planning – Statistics and Test Strategy Approach Document seeks to accomplish the following goals:

- Provide statistically sound NIOP testing results at 80% confidence
- Stage and prioritize tests to minimize cost and duration
- Limit test cases to those that involve variables that are expected to impact results
- Where possible, maximize testing in a lab setting

This testing approach will provide a statistical foundation for protocol performance. In other words, results will be reported in terms of statistical validity; e.g., Protocol 1 meets the read/write accuracy requirements at the 80% confidence level. If a protocol doesn't meet the requirements, that too can be stated in statistical terms. Additionally, inconclusive results (i.e. a protocol neither passes nor fails after a specified number of trials) can still provide valuable information for IBTTA to consider when evaluating the protocols. Specifically, error margins and confidence limits allow IBTTA to make informed decisions or comparisons regarding the inconclusive test(s).

Appendix A contains a description of how statistically-based testing will be conducted. Additionally, this testing can determine which configuration variables truly need to be assessed in determining protocol performance. That is, certain statistical tests – which can produce valid results using a relatively small number of trials – may show that, for example, varying the speed of vehicles traveling past the tolling point has no statistically significant impact on performance. If that were the case, testing could be limited to just a fixed speed per trial thereby significantly reducing the total number of trials needed.

Finally, the approach includes a comparison of lab and field environments. Like the configuration variable testing above, this testing is intended to indicate whether lab testing can be used as a surrogate for field testing, and under what conditions or configurations. In cases where the lab does accurately represent field performance, additional cost and time savings may be realized by testing in the lab.

This testing is focused on the performance of candidate protocols as they relate to NIOP criteria under lab and field testing with controlled factors. The test approach is intended to measure conformance to the IBTTA NIOP Electronic Toll Collection Protocol Requirements Document and is not meant to necessarily indicate actual real-world results that will be experienced by toll agencies that deploy the eventual NIOP selected protocol. Actual implementation specifics, toll agency requirements and real world conditions will all impact actual performance that can be achieved.

#### **Testing Controls**

The following testing controls are intended to create consistency in the process for the protocols under test and to minimize the impact of equipment design or Vendor implementation specifics:

#### Lab:

- 1. Define an identical read zone for each protocol (i.e., nominal 12 feet)
- 2. Candidate protocol operating in read/write mode and configured to write at least 52 bits of data
- 3. Local protocol operating in read-only mode

#### Field:

- 1. Define an identical read zone for each protocol (i.e., nominal 12 feet)
- 2. Candidate protocol operating in read/write mode and configured to write at least 52 bits of data
- 3. Local protocol operating in read-only mode

#### **Test Plan and Phasing**

A truly statistically significant test, utilizing all the variables that IBTTA requested, would quickly reach a number of trials beyond the available resources. To test read performance for each of the 480 combinations of variables possible in the NIOP Requirement Document, at an 80% confidence, a minimum of 772,320 trials would be necessary. This level of sample trials is not feasible or practical.

Thus, the first step for revising the test program should be a critical look at the list of variables and the number of possible values of those variables. IBTTA has determined the following list of variables will be used for testing.

Variable	Number	Values
Environment	1	ORT
Vehicle Types	1	Car
Speed	2	60 mph, 100 mph
Tag Location	1	Windshield
Lane Configurations	2	Single Lane, Three Lane (no shoulders in either)
Dual Protocols	2	Candidate protocol as Primary, with each of the other
		2 NIOP protocols as "Local"

#### Table 1. Suggested Variables for Testing

This reduces the number of combinations to 8, down from a maximum of 480. The following discussions assumes this reduction in variable combinations to 8 (per candidate protocol). The

Plan contains three parts, as described in the next sections. More details of the test cases are contained in Appendix B. Note, all references to "local" protocols mean one of the three candidate NIOP protocols operating as the local protocol.

## Part One - Handshake Degradation and Correlation Testing

<u>**Test Round 1**</u>: Limited single protocol lab testing of each candidate reader running local protocols in read-only mode to measure baseline handshake data of those local protocols in a single protocol mode. This is required as input to Test Round 2, to be used in the calculations relative to the maximum 60% degradation of local protocols. (12 total tests, 600 trials)

<u>**Test Round 2**</u>: Limited dual protocol lab testing of each candidate reader running two protocols (the candidate protocol in read/write mode and each of the local protocols in read-only mode) to measure degradation of handshakes on the candidate and local protocol, when running in a dual protocol mode. This is required to test the maximum 60% degradation requirement for local protocols. (12 total tests, 600 trials)

**Test Round 3**: Limited dual protocol (candidate in read/write mode and local in read-only mode) lab testing of each combination (4 per protocol) of test variables (See Table 1). Note: The number of tests is 4 per protocol because 3-lane tests are not currently possible in the lab. This is required to determine the baseline number of handshakes for each candidate protocol, which will then be compared with the handshake values measured in the field (Test Round 4), to determine if the lab environment is an acceptable surrogate for the field environment. (12 total tests, 600 trials)

**Test Round 4**: Limited dual protocol (candidate in read/write mode and local in read-only mode) field testing of each of the 24 combinations (8 per protocol) of test variables (see Table 1). The handshake results measured in this field testing will be compared with the corresponding handshake results from the lab (Test Round 3) to determine if the lab environment is an acceptable surrogate for the field environment. Note this test round includes both single and three lane test environments, which results in twice the number of tests performed in Test Round 3. (24 total tests, 1,200 trials).

The results of Test Round 1 and Test Round 2 will determine whether the protocols meet the requirement concerning degradation of handshakes of other protocols. OCS, as test administrators, will present the results to IBTTA. No protocols will be automatically eliminated unless IBTTA directs such an elimination.

The results of Test Rounds 3 and 4 will be compared, using statistical methods (analysis of variance) to determine:

- If the field data can be correlated with the lab data and thus allow some of the remaining statistical tests to be performed in the lab (or not).
- If any variables can be eliminated because they do not result in any differences in the test results. For instance, if the testing shows that, with all other parameters constant, the number of handshakes recorded for lone vehicles is statistically the same as the number of handshakes from each of three vehicles travelling past the tolling point simultaneously (in

#### Version 6

parallel, one in each travel lane), then the vehicle configurations variable can be eliminated from future testing for that protocol.

### Part Two – Lab Performance Testing

For planning purposes, it is assumed that IBTTA will not eliminate any protocols based on the degradation testing (Tests Rounds 1 and 2). In addition, it is assumed that Test Rounds 3 and 4 will demonstrate that the lab is a good surrogate for the field. Thus, Part Two will involve only lab-based statistical tests of each protocol.

<u>**Test Round 5**</u>: Dual protocol (candidate in read/write mode and local in read-only mode) statistical test (see section on statistical testing procedure in Appendix A) of all 12 variable combinations that can be performed in the lab. (Note that 3-lane tests are not currently possible in the lab). These 12 statistical tests will each be performed regardless of the number of test failures or passes found in previously run rounds of testing, up to the maximum of 3,812 trails (passes). (12 total tests, 19,308 – 45,744 trials)

### **Part Three – Field Performance Testing**

After Part Two is complete, OCS will meet with IBTTA to discuss the results and make final plans for Part Three.

For planning purposes, it is assumed that no protocols will be eliminated because of Part Two but that some combinations of variables can be eliminated due to the results of Test Rounds 3 and 4. Further, it is assumed that 3 additional field-based statistical tests will be required to complete a full set of performance determinations.

<u>**Test Round 6**</u>: Dual protocol (candidate in read/write mode and local in read-only mode) statistically-based field tests of three variable combinations. (3 total tests, 4,827 total trials)

Note: To keep within the available budget/schedule, these statistically-based tests are limited to a maximum of 1,609 trials for each test.

## **Summary**

Table 2 below presents a summary of the test approach.

#### Table 2. Testing Trial Sample Sizes

	Total Trials Per Testing Part						
	Minimum	Maximum					
Part	One – Handshake Degradation and C	orrelation testing					
Lab Trials	1,800	1,800					
Field Trials	1,200	1,200					
Part One Totals	3,000	3,000					
	Part Two – Lab Performance T	esting					
Lab Trials	19,308	45,744					
Field Trials	0	0					
Part Two Totals	19,308	45,744					
	(if all pass/fail at first gate)	(assumes all inconclusive or					
		pass/fail at maximum trials)					
	Part Three – Field Performance	Testing					
Lab Trials							
Field Trials	4,827	4,827					
	(without any early failures)						
Part Three Totals	4,827	4,827					
<b>Overall Totals</b>	27,135	53,571					

It is important to note that the maximum number of trials shown in Table 2 for the testing plan assume that:

- None of the three protocols are eliminated at any time.
- All statistical testing requires the maximum 3,812 trials, meaning that all tests result in inconclusive results (or success/failure at the very end of the test case).

It is highly unlikely that both conditions will come to pass. For instance, it is anticipated that at lower speeds the protocols will likely pass with a low number of trials.

The **benefits** of the plan are that:

• It maximizes the number of statistically significant tests that can be done within the resources available.

• It maximizes the use of lab testing where possible. Not only is lab testing expected to be significantly less costly and time consuming, but the lab environment is a much more controlled, repeatable, and safe environment compared to field testing.

## Appendix A

#### **Statistically Sound Testing Incremental Trials Approach**

All statistically significant test cases will be performed in the following manner:

- 1. Perform a predetermined number of trials from the table in Table A-1 (starting in the first row and working down the table). Use a specific, fixed set of test parameters (speed, number of vehicles, etc.) for each set of trials (i.e. do not vary the parameters within a trial set of 1,609 –3,812). For each trial, measure the number of handshakes, and a running total of the number of failures to read or write.
- 2. Compare the number of failures to the table to determine if the test has either passed or failed the read or write accuracy requirement at 80% confidence. Note: Some stopping points are used for read accuracy. The other stopping points are used for write accuracy.
- 3. If the protocol has reached a "Fail" level, stop the test and the protocol fails the specific test.
- 4. If the protocol has reached a "Pass" on both read and write, stop. The protocol passes. No additional testing is required for this test case.
- 5. If the number of failures results in an "inconclusive" result, continue.
- 6. If the total number of trials has not reached the maximum number of trials (3,812) go to step 1) and conduct the next set of trials in the table.
- 7. If the maximum has been reached, stop the test. Using the spreadsheet, make the final determination of "Pass", Fail", or "Inconclusive."

Incremental	Cumulative	Read	Write	Degult 1	Next Step	
Trials	Trials	Test	Test	Kesuit -	Next Step	
804	904		if fails $\geq 5$	Protocol fails	Stop	
804	804		if fails $= 0$	Protocol passes Write test	Continue, assess Read tests only <sup>2</sup>	
227	1 1 4 1		if fails $\geq 6$	Protocol fails	Stop	
557	1,141		if fails $\leq 1$	Protocol passes Write test	Continue, assess Read tests only	
169	1 600	if fails $\geq 5$		Protocol fails	Stop	
408	1,009	if fails $= 0$		Protocol passes Read test	Continue, assess Write tests only <sup>3</sup>	
207	1.006		if fails $\geq 8$	Protocol fails	Stop	
297	1,900		if fails $\leq 2$	Protocol passes Write test	Continue, assess Read tests only	
276	2 282	if fails ≥ 6		Protocol fails	Stop	
570	2,282	if fails $\leq 1$		Protocol passes Read test	Continue, assess Write tests only	
279	2.610		if fails $\geq 10$	Protocol fails	Stop	
320	2,010		if fails $\leq 3$	Protocol passes Write test	Continue, assess Read tests only	
671	2 291		if fails $\geq 11$	Protocol fails	Stop	
0/1	5,201		if fails $\leq 4$	Protocol passes Write test	Continue, assess Read tests only	
521	2 812 4	if fails $\geq 8$		Protocol fails	Stop	
331	5,812	if fails $\leq 2$		Protocol passes Read test	Stop	
	<sup>1</sup> If both tests have passed, stop testing – the protocol passes performance testing. <sup>2</sup> If Write test is passed, continue testing only for Read test performance at the subsequent number of cumulative trials.					

#### Table A-1. Cumulative Statistical Testing

<sup>3</sup> If Read test is passed, continue testing only for Write test performance at the subsequent number of cumulative trials.

<sup>4</sup> If after 3,812 trials both tests have not passed OR neither test has failed, report measured performance and resulting confidence interval.

## Appendix B

**NIOP Test Case Explanations** 

Candidate Protocols:

A, B, C

### Part One Testing

Test Round 1 – Single Protocol Baseline						
Purpose: To determine local protocol handshakes in single protocol mode using the						
readers from each ca	andidate (e.g. 3M reade	r reading only TDN	I, and then	only SeGo).		
<b>Entrance Criteria:</b>	Begin Testing					
Success Criteria: Tl	his is not a pass/fail test	•				
Test Location: LAI	3					
Lane Configuration	: ORT					
Reader Config: Sin	gle Protocol					
Candidate Reader	Reader Protocol (Read-Only)	Tag Protocol	Speed	Trials		
А	B (only)	В	100	50		
А	B (only)	В	60	50		
А	C (only)	С	100	50		
А	C (only)	С	60	50		
В	A (only)	А	100	50		
В	A (only)	А	60	50		
В	C (only)	С	100	50		
В	C (only)	С	60	50		
С	A (only)	А	100	50		
С	A (only)	А	60	50		
С	B (only)	В	100	50		
C	B (only)	В	60	50		
				600 Trials		

After this test, we will have handshake data for each local protocol from the reader provided by each candidate. Move on to Test Round 2.

Test Round 2 – Dual Protocol Handshake Degradation								
Purpose: To dete	rmine local proto	ocol handshake degra	adation in dual	protoco	l operation.			
<b>Entrance</b> Criteria	a: Test 1 Comple	ete						
Success Criteria:	If handshake de	gradation is less tha	n or equal to 60	)%, for a	all			
combinations, the	e protocol passes.							
Test Location: L	AB							
Lane Config: OF	RT							
<b>Reader Config:</b>	Dual Protocol							
Candidate Reader	Reader Protocol 1 (Read/Write)	Reader Protocol 1 (Read-Only)Reader Protocol 2 (Read-Only)Tag ProtocolSpeedTrials						
А	А	В	В	100	50			
А	А	В	В	60	50			
А	А	С	С	100	50			
А	А	С	С	60	50			
В	В	А	А	100	50			
В	В	А	А	60	50			
В	В	С	С	100	50			
В	В	С	С	60	50			
С	С	А	А	100	50			
С	С	C A A 60 50						
C	C	В	В	100	50			
С	С	В	В	60	50			
					600 Trials			

At this point we can determine how much the local protocols are degraded in a dual protocol mode, for all three candidate protocols.

	Test Round 3 – Lab Handshake Correlations							
Purpose: To meas	Purpose: To measure handshake data of the candidate protocol under test for							
comparison to sin	nilar field data.							
Entrance Criteria	: Complete Test R	ound 2						
Success Criteria:	This is not a pass/fa	il test.						
<b>Test Location:</b> LA	AB							
Reader Config: D	Dual Protocol							
Candidata	<b>Reader Protocol</b>	Reader	Tog					
Doodor	1	Protocol 2	l ag Drotocol	Speed	Trials			
Reauer	(Read/Write)	(Read-Only)	Frotocol					
А	А	В	А	60	50			
А	А	В	А	100	50			
А	А	С	А	60	50			
А	А	С	А	100	50			
В	В	А	В	60	50			
В	В	А	В	100	50			
В	В	С	В	60	50			
В	В	С	В	100	50			
С	С	А	С	60	50			
С	С	А	С	100	50			
С	С	C B C 60 50						
С	С	В	C	100	50			
					600			
					Trials			

Test Round 4 – Field Variable Correlation							
Purpose: To me	Purpose: To measure handshake data of the candidate protocols for comparison to						
similar lab data	similar lab data.						
Entrance Crite	ria: Complete To	est Round 3					
Success Criteria	a: This is not a pa	ass/fail test.					
Test Location:	FIELD						
<b>Reader Config:</b>	Dual Protocol						
Candidate	Reader	Reader	Тад	~ -			
Reader	Protocol 1	Protocol 2	Protocol	Speed	Vehicles	Trials	
	(Read/Write)	(Read-Only)	•	(0)	Q' 1	50	
A	A	B	A	60	Single	50	
A	A	B	A	60	Triple	50	
A	A	В	A	100	Single	50	
A	A	B	A	100	Triple	50	
A	A	C	A	60	Single	50	
A	A	C	A	60	Triple	50	
A	A	C	A	100	Single	50	
A	A	C	A	100	Triple	50	
B	B	A	B	60	Single	50	
B	В	A	B	60	Triple	50	
B	B	A	B	100	Single	50	
В	В	A	В	100	Triple	50	
В	В	C	В	60	Single	50	
В	В	C	В	60	Triple	50	
В	В	C	В	100	Single	50	
B	B	C	B	100	Triple	50	
C	C	A	C	60	Single	50	
С	С	A	C	60	Triple	50	
С	С	A	C	100	Single	50	
С	С	A	С	100	Triple	50	
С	С	В	C	60	Single	50	
С	С	В	С	60	Triple	50	
С	С	В	С	100	Single	50	
С	С	В	С	100	Triple	50	
						1,200	
						Trials	

Test Round	Tests	Lab Trials	<b>Field Trials</b>	Totals
1	12	600	0	600
2	12	600	0	600
3	12	600	0	600
4	24	0	1,200	1,200
TOTALS	60	1,800	1,200	3,000

### Part One Summary:

The test results collected in Part One will be analyzed to determine:

- 1. If the local protocols are not degraded more than the allowable maximum of 60% (using Test Round 1 and Test Round 2 results).
- 2. If testing in the lab accurately replicates testing in the field (using Test Round 3 and Test Round 4 results).
- 3. If the vehicle configuration (one vehicle at a time under the gantry vs. three vehicles side-by-side) results in different performance (number of handshakes) levels (using Test Round 4 results).

For the purpose of planning Part Two and Part Three of performance testing, certain assumptions have been made about the results of items 2 and 3 above. The sections following describe these assumptions.

### Part Two Tests

For planning Part Two of the performance testing, *it is assumed the lab will be shown to be an acceptable surrogate for the field based on results of the Part One tests*. This assumption makes it possible to perform most of the read and write performance tests in the lab.

Test Round 5 – Read and Write Performance							
Purpose: To various con	Purpose: To determine read and write performance of candidate protocols under various configurations.						
Entrance C	riteria: Part One	Complete & Posit	tive Lab/Fiel	d Correlati	on Shown		
Success Cri	teria: See discuss	sion					
Test Locati	on: LAB						
Lane Confi	guration: ORT (S	ingle Lane)					
Reader Cor	nfig: Dual Protoco	ol					
Candidate Reader	Reader Protocol 1 (Read/Write)	Reader Protocol 2 (Read-Only)	Tag Protocol	Speed	Trials		
А	A	В	А	100	1,609-3,812		
Α	А	В	А	60	1,609-3,812		
А	А	С	А	100	1,609-3,812		
Α	А	С	А	60	1,609-3,812		
В	В	А	В	100	1,609-3,812		
В	В	А	В	60	1,609-3,812		
В	В	С	В	100	1,609-3,812		
В	В	С	В	60	1,609-3,812		
С	С	А	C	100	1,609-3,812		
С	С	А	C	60	1,609-3,812		
C	С В С 100 1,609-3,812						
С	С	В	C	60	1,609-3,812		
					19,308 - 45,744 Trials		

Note: All twelve of these tests will be performed, regardless of outcome of previous Round 5 tests. Each test will result in: a pass; a fail; or an inconclusive result. A pass or fail can occur at an intermediate point (with as little as 1,609 trials) if the number of trial failures meets the criteria in Appendix A.

## Part Two Summary:

Test Round	Tests	Lab Trials	Field Trials	Totals
5	12	19,308-45,744	0	19,308-45,744
TOTALS	12	19,308-45,744	0	19,308-45,744

### **Part Three Tests**

After completion of Parts One and Two, the following data will be available for consideration by IBTTA:

- 1. The results of the lab testing in Part Two. This will include which read and write tests have passed, failed, or were inconclusive.
- 2. Whether there are correlations proven in Part One (Tests 3 and 4) that testing is necessary to cover all the required variable combinations (e.g. single lane vs. three lane).
- 3. An accurate current budget, based on the cost and schedules to complete the lab testing in Part Two. Note this could vary significantly based on Part Two's range of required tests (19,308 45,744 trials).

Using the information in the list above, OCS will work with IBTTA to finalize the tests to be run in Part Three, including determination of:

- 1. Which protocols will be field tested (after consideration of the pass/fail/inconclusive results in Part Two).
- 2. Which combinations of variables remain to be tested (after consideration of the correlation testing in Part one single vs. three lanes, speed).

For planning Part Three of the performance testing, *it is assumed there will be some correlation in the data which will allow the elimination of some of the combinations of variables (one vehicle vs. three vehicles, speed, etc.) required for field testing. Further, it is also assumed one field test for each protocol will be required (a total of three statistically-based field tests).* The combination of protocols shown below are only for planning and budgetary purposes. The actual need for Test 6 and the combinations of protocols will be determined during Part Three planning.

	Test Round 6 – Remaining Field Performance Tests							
Purpose: To vehicles are s	Purpose: To determine read and write performance of candidate protocols when three vehicles are simultaneously in the ORT zone							
Entrance Cri	Entrance Criteria: Part One and Part Two Complete							
Success Crite	eria: See discus	sion						
Test Location	n: FIELD							
Lane Configu	Lane Configuration: ORT							
<b>Reader Conf</b>	iguration: Dual	<b>Protocol</b>						
Candidate Reader	Reader Protocol 1 (Read/Write)	Reader Protocol 2 (Read- Only)	Tag Protocol	Speed	Vehicle Configuration	Trials		
A	А	В	А	100	Triple	1,609		
В	В	А	В	100	Triple	1,609		
C	C	C A C 100 Triple 1,609						
						4,827 Trials		

Note: These three variable combinations are just examples. The exact combinations will be determined after Part One test results are analyzed.

### **Part Three Summary:**

Test Round	Tests	Lab Trials	Field Trials	Totals
6	3	0	4,827	4,827
TOTALS	3	0	4,827	4,827

## **Overall Summary (Parts 1 – 3):**

Test Round	Tests	Lab Trials	Field Trials	Total Trials
Number				
Round 1	12	600		600
Round 2	12	600		600
Round 3	12	600		600
Round 4	24		1,200	1,200
Round 5	12	19,308-45,744		19,308-45,744
Round 6	3		4,827	4,827
TOTALS	75	21,108-47,544	6,027	27,135-53,571